

INFRASTRUKTURA I EKOLOGIA TERENÓW WIEJSKICH INFRASTRUCTURE AND ECOLOGY OF RURAL AREAS

Nr III/1/2016, POLSKA AKADEMIA NAUK, Oddział w Krakowie, s. 737–747 Komisja Technicznej Infrastruktury Wsi

DOI: http://dx.medra.org/10.14597/infraeco.2016.3.1.054

THE EFFICIENCY OF POLLUTANT ELIMINATION IN THE DOBRA TREATMENT PLANT

Jacek Nowak, Krzysztof Chmielowski, Bożena Chmielowska, Dawid Bedla
University of Agriculture in Krakow

Summary

This study was aimed to conduct an analysis of the efficiency of pollutant elimination in the Dobra treatment plant, which operates based on the SBR reactor. The object purifies domestic sewage and pre-treated industrial sewage from a slaughterhouse within the Dobra municipality. The source material for the analysis comprised the values of pollution indicators: BOD₅, COD_{Cr} total suspended solids (TTS) in raw sewage (19 samples) and treated sewage (34 samples). The results of chemical analyses were obtained in the period 2007-2014. The results were compared with the limit values imposed by the Regulation (2014). The coefficient of reliability of the treatment plant was also calculated in this study. The average efficiency of pollutant elimination in the treatment plant was: BOD₅ – 98.6%, COD_{Cr} – 94.9%, total suspended solids – 97.6%. These results demonstrate that the studied facility operates flawlessly and the treatment efficiency is high. On the other hand, the reliability coefficient values indicate that the operation of this object is unstable.

Key words: sewage treatment plant, domestic sewage, SBR.

INTRODUCTION

The development of water and sewerage systems, progressing for many years, results in a significant increase in the amount of sewage discharged to sewerage networks. The Central Statistical Office reports that the amount of sewage discharged to sewerage network from rural areas in Małopolska in 2012

increased by 7% as compared to the preceding year (CSO 2013). Such trend forces investments related to the expansion and modernization of the existing sewage treatment plants in order to allow discharge of treated sewage of adequate quality to the receiver.

Consequently, there is a need for conducting broad evaluation of these facilities, both in terms of the efficiency of pollutant removal, reduction of contaminants, operational reliability of the object and statistical analysis of the laboratory results. An extensive analysis of the results of studies conducted during the operation of a treatment plant allows to predict abnormalities and to eliminate them in the future. This is important in terms of surface water protection, as it allows for the effective protection of flowing waters and the natural environment.

The sewage treatment process should be conducted in such a way that the highest possible degree of purification is achieved at minimum of cost (Łomotowski and Szpindor 1999, Dymaczewski 2011). In rural areas sewage is characterized by large variation in flow and pollution loads, therefore the selection of sewage treatment technologies is not easy. In rural households, where either agricultural or animal production is carried out, water is consumed not only for domestic purposes but also for the needs of animals, farming or for dilution of crop-spraying chemicals and washing of farming machines (Pawełek and Bergel 2002). This type of sewage should not enter domestic treatment plants, because animal waste is characterized by high concentrations of contaminants (Szpindor, 1998). On the other hand, sewage treatment plants may receive sewage from septic tanks of household treatment plants and spill-holding tanks. They are characterized by very high concentration of contaminants and may increase values of raw sewage treated at the facility. Thus, properly designed sewage treatment plant should operate successfully at different loads of sewage.

This article aims at assessing the effectiveness of pollutant elimination in the sewage treatment plant in Dobra near Limanowa in the Małopolska voivodeship. The studied facility operation is based on an SBR sequential reactor. The analysis of results was conducted in order to determine the efficiency of the facility operation in the period of 2007-2014. Three pollution indicators were taken into consideration: BOD₅, COD_{Cr} and TSS. The efficiency and reliability of the treatment plant was evaluated and statistical analysis of data was conducted. Additionally, hydraulic load of the treatment plant for the period from January 2012 to December 2013 was determined.

DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDIED FACILITY

The analyzed treatment plant is located in the Małopolska voivodeship in Dobra locality near Limanowa. The tested facility was designed for 2,375 PE which qualifies it to the second group of treatment plants [Regulation 2014].

Mean daily flow is 250 m³·d⁻¹ and maximum daily flow is 326 m³·d⁻¹. The plant receives sanitary effluent from the sewerage system, transported sewage and pre-treated industrial sewage from a slaughterhouse. A liquid waste storage point is equipped with automatic monitoring system of transported sewage, where information is recorded on who, and when transported sewage, as well as on the amount of sewage that was introduced into the treatment plant. The technological chain consists of a vertical spiral sieve with 6 mm perforation, drum sieves with 4 mm perforation, sequential SBR reactor comprising two chambers, each with a capacity of 248.4 m³, phosphorus precipitation coagulant dosage station and a settlement pond. Treated sewage, disinfected with sodium hypochlorite is discharged into the Lososina river at km 45.350. The sequential biological reactor operates based on five stages: filling, mixing with agitators, aeration by diffusers placed in the bottom of the reactor cooperating with blowers hall, which dose air thus maintaining the oxygen content in the range of 1.5 to 2.5 gO₃·m⁻³, sedimentation and sewage drainage to the settlement pond, where sewage retention time is 3 hours. Figure 1 shows a simplified technological diagram of the plant.




Figure 1. A simplified technological diagram of the sewage treatment plant in Dobra near Limanowa (Chmielowska 2014)

METHOD

During the study period of 2007-2014 physicochemical analyses of 19 raw sewage samples were conducted at a frequency of twice per year and of 34 treated sewage samples 4 times per year. The values of the analyzed parameters (BOD₅, COD_{cr}, TSS) were compared to the current limits for treated sewage given in the Regulation (2014). In this study some basic statistical characteristics of the analyzed pollution indicators were determined: mean, median, variance, standard deviation, minimum and maximum value and the coefficient of variation. Based on the calculated statistical characteristics the coefficient of reliability (COR) was determined according to the formula (Andarka 2011):

$$COR = \frac{x_m}{X_l} \quad [-] \tag{1}$$

where:

 x_{m} – mean value of each indicator in treated sewage [mg·dm⁻³],

 x_i – limit value of each indicator in treated sewage [mg·dm⁻³].

Calculation of the sewage treatment efficiency:

$$\eta = \frac{c_d - c_0}{c_d} \cdot 100 \ [\%] \tag{2}$$

where:

 C_d – concentration of component or the value of indicator for sewage flowing into the treatment plant [g·m⁻³],

 C_o – concentration of component or the value of indicator for sewage flowing out of the treatment plant [g·m⁻³],

Moreover, the analysis of hydraulic load of the treatment plant in the period from January 2012 to December 2013 was conducted. The daily hydraulic load was compared on a graph against the mean and limit values. Basic descriptive statistics of sewage flow for each year and for the entire study period were summarized in tables. Mean, minimum and maximum values, standard deviation and coefficient of variation were determined

ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS

Firstly, hydraulic load of the sewage treatment plant in Dobra is presented. Figure 1 shows the course of daily flow of raw sewage in the period from January 2012 to December 2013 against the mean and limit values. According to the water permit (2003) the limit hydraulic load for the treatment plant in Dobra is 326 m³ d⁻¹.




Figure 2. Daily flows of sewage for the treatment plant in Dobra in the study period

The analysis of data summarized in Figure 2 indicates fluctuations in hydraulic load of the treatment plant. Similarly uneven load of the treatment plant was observed by other researchers (Krzanowski and Wałęga 2004, Kaczor 2012, Chmielowski et all. 2012). Figure 1 shows numerous events of exceedance of limit value for the hydraulic load. They occurred mainly during snowmelt periods in spring. Improperly constructed sewerage system may contribute to uncontrolled infiltration and inflow (Kaczor 2012). In Table 1 are presented basic descriptive statistics for the hydraulic load of the sewage treatment plant in Dobra.

Table 1. Basic descriptive statistics of the sewage flow in the Dobra treatment plant

No.	Flow statistic	Symbol	Unit	Study period		
				2012	2013	2012-2013
1	Mean flow	$Q_{\scriptscriptstyle m}$	$[m^3 \cdot d^{-1}]$	266.17	250.66	258.46
2	Maximum	Q_{max}	$[m^3 \cdot d^{-1}]$	503.00	517.00	517.00
3	Minimum	Q_{min}	$[m^3 \cdot d^{-1}]$	106.00	100.00	100.00
4	Standard deviation	O_{st}	$[m^3 \cdot d^{-1}]$	76.01	78.00	77.34
5	Coefficient of variability	$C_{_{v}}$	-	0.29	0.31	0.30
6	Number of samples	N	-	334	330	664

The results of physicochemical analyses show that the indices of treated sewage samples varied in the studied treatment plant and did not exceed the limit values, except for one sample of BOD₅, which exceeded the limit value by 7 mgO₂·dm⁻³. The values of coefficient of variation range from 0.44 for COD₆. to 0.82 for BOD₅, which proves high instability of the operation of the studied sewage treatment plant (Table 3). Such large differences in the values of sewage inflow to the Dobra treatment plant may result from the inflow of infiltration and accidental water. In order to confirm these hypothesis additional tests should be conducted, e.g. taking into consideration the measurement of sewage inflow at night. This would answer the question of what is the percentage of inflow in the period of decreased activity of citizens connected to the sewerage system. Illegal connections of gutters draining rainwater from roofs of houses may also contribute to a significantly increased inflow to the sewerage system during rainfall. In this respect the municipality authorities should provide trainings for local residents, which on one hand would demonstrate the benefits resulting from the collection of rainwater within properties and on the other – would show the users of sewerage system the drawbacks of illegal discharge of rainwater to the sanitary sewerage system.

Large variations within values are also observed in the samples of raw sewage. The coefficient of variation ranges from 0.56 for COD_{Cr} to 0.97 for total suspended solids (Table 2).

No.	Parameter		BOD ₅ [mgO ₂ ·dm ⁻³]	COD _{Cr} [mgO ₂ ·dm ⁻³]	TSS [mg·dm ⁻³]
1	Sample size	n	19	19	19
2	Mean value	X_{m}	505	933	349
3	Median	$m_{_{X}}$	487	836	210
4	Standard deviation	S	322	525	337
5	Minimum value	min	113	224	52
6	Maximum value	max	1270	2142	1360
7	Coefficient of variation	C	0.64	0.56	0.97

Table 2. Statistical characteristics of the analysed pollution indicators in raw sewage

Data shown in Table 2 indicate that all analysed indicators are significantly scattered. The minimum value of BOD_5 is $113 \text{ mgO}_2 \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ and the maximum is $1270 \text{ mgO}_2 \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$, similarly as in the case of COD_{Cr} (minimum value of $224.2 \text{ mgO}_2 \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$) and total suspended solids (minimum value of $52 \text{ mgO}_2 \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ and the maximum of $1360 \text{ mgO}_2 \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$).

After comparing the results obtained in this study with the BOD₅ values reported by other authors (Łomotowski and Szpindor 1999, Henze et al. 2002, Heidrich

and Witkowski 2005) it can be concluded that they are high. According to Błażejewski [2003], BOD₅ of raw sewage falls within the range of 230-500 mgO₂ dm⁻³, with an average of 300 mgO₂ dm⁻³. On the other hand, Tchobanoglous et al. [2003] determine the average value of BOD₅ to be only 190 mgO₂ dm⁻³. This indicates that as a result of efficient water consumption for household purposes the value of BOD_s in raw sewage increased compared to the results of studies from previous years. Significant fluctuations in the values of basic indicators of sewage contamination may result from the inflow of infiltration and accidental water. This results in dilution of sewage inflowing through the sewerage system to the Dobra treatment plant (minimum value of BOD₅ – 113 mgO₂ dm⁻³, $COD_{Cr} - 224 \text{ mgO}_2 \text{ dm}^{-3}$, total suspended solids $- 52 \text{ mg dm}^{-3}$). On the other hand, liquid waste transported withemptiers to the sewage collection stations of the Dobra treatment plant may result in extremely high values of indexes (maximum value of BOD₅ – 1270 mgO₂dm⁻³, COD_{Cr} – 2142 mgO₂dm⁻³, total suspended solids – 1360 mg dm⁻³). According to Kaczor (2012), infiltration and accidental water inflow often causes unfavourable values of COD_c/BOD₅ ratio, which determines the ease of sewage decomposition in biological processes.

Table 3 shows statistical characteristics of the analysed pollution indicators of the treated sewage.

Table 3. Statistical characteristics of the analysed pollution indicators in treated sewage

No.	Parameter		$\frac{BOD_{5}}{[mgO_{2} \cdot dm^{-3}]}$	$\frac{\text{COD}_{\text{Cr}}}{[\text{mgO}_2 \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}]}$	TSS [mg·dm ⁻³]
1	Sample size	n	34	34	34
2	Mean value	X_{m}	7.0	47.8	8.2
3	Median	$m_{_{x}}$	4.9	44.5	7.2
4	Variance	S^2	32.9	433.4	23.8
5	Standard deviation	S	5.7	20.8	4.9
6	Minimum value	min	1.2	18.6	2.0
7	Maximum value	max	32.0	107.7	20.0
8	Limit value	X_{l}	25	125	35
9	Coefficient of variability	$C_{_{v}}$	0.82	0.44	0.60
10	Coefficient of reliability	COR	0.28	0.38	0.23
11	Mean effectiveness	η [%]	98.6	94.9	97.6




Figure 3. Variation in BOD_s, COD_{cr} and TSS in treated sewage and limit values for these indicators

Based on Table 3 and Figure 2 it can be concluded that the maximum value of BOD₅ in treated sewage was 32 mgO₂·dm⁻³, while the minimum was 1.2 mgO₂·dm⁻³. Mean value of this indicator equaled 7.0 mgO₂·dm⁻³. Only one measurement showed the excess of the permitted level of BOD_s, which according to the Regulation (2014) should not exceed 25 mgO₂·dm⁻³ (tab. 3). The maximum value of COD_{cr} in treated sewage was 107.7 mgO₂·dm⁻³, while the minimum value was 18.6 mgO₂·dm⁻³. The mean value of this indicator was equal to 47.8 mgO₂·dm⁻³. None of the measurements showed exceedance of the permitted level. The results of analyses of total suspended solids ranged from 2.0 to 20.0 mg·dm⁻³ and the mean value was 8.2 mg·dm⁻³. The effectiveness of sewage treatment in the studied facility was high: for BOD₅ it was 98.6%, for COD₆ – 94.9% and for total suspended solids 97.6%. When comparing these results with literature (Chmielowski et al. 2011, Miernik 2007) it can be concluded that the analysed facility has been working very effectively. The results of the sewage treatment efficiency are very high and comparable with the assessment results of the treatment plants operating based on the activated sludge method in the SBR reactor.

When analysing data shown in Figure 3, it is evident that the values of BOD₅ in treated sewage were in general below the limit (25 mgO₂·dm⁻³). This could be caused by the amount of sewage transported by the cesspool emptier fleet from the nearby spill-holding tanks. It is therefore important that the staff operating the liquid waste storage station watches carefully in order not to discharge sewage with excessive pollutant load into this plant.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The paper presents the results of studies on the sewage treatment plant in Dobra which operates based on the SBR reactor. Data on raw and treated sewage from the study period of January 2007 to December 2014 were collected.

Based on the conducted analysis of the results the following conclusions can be drawn:

- The mean values of BOD₅, COD_{Cr} and total suspended solids in raw sewage, obtained as a result of this study, were higher than the mean values presented in the analyzed literature.
- Different levels of hydraulic load of the sewage treatment plant were observed, which may evidence infiltration and inflow during rainy periods.
- 3. Low values of the analysed indicators were found in treated sewage, amounting on average to: 7.0 mgO₂·dm⁻³ for BOD₅, 47.8 mgO₂·dm⁻³ for COD_{Cr} and 8.2 mg·dm⁻³ for total suspended solids. There has been only one exceedance of the limit value.

- 4. The effectiveness of sewage treatment is satisfactory and is as follows: $BOD_s 98.6\%$, $COD_{cs} 94.9\%$, TSS 97.6%.
- 5. It needs to be acknowledged that the treatment plant in Dobra operates properly and the stability of its operation can be affected by uneven inflow of sewage and discharge of sewage transported by cesspool emptiers.
- 6. The operation of the analyzed sewage treatment plant should be assessed positively, due to high reduction of pollutants and the technological reliability index values, which contributes to the effective protection of water quality in the receiver from pollution.

REFERENCES

Andarka D. (2011). Modeling of wastewater treatment plant operation by means of Monte Carlo simulation. Ecological Engineering, PSEE., 24: 7-16.

Błażejewski R. Kanalizacja wsi. PZITS Oddział Wielkopolski, Poznań 2003.

Chmielowska B. (2014). Effectiveness of pollutant elimination in sewage treatment plants Rokiciny Podhalańskie and Dobra. Typescript. Cracow.

Chmielowski K., Kurek K., Bąk P. (2012). Efficiency of wastewater treatment on the example in "Lipnica Wielka" sewage treatment plant. Infrastructure and Ecology of Rural Areas, 3: 213-224.

Dymaczewski Z. (2011). Guidance for sewage treatment plants operators. Polish Sanitary Engineers' Association. Poznan.

CSO. (2013). Municipal infrastructure in 2012. Warsaw.

Heidrich Z., Witkowski A. Urządzenia do oczyszczania ścieków. Wydawnictwo "Seidel – Przywecki" Sp. z o.o., Warszawa 2005.

Henze M., Harremoës P., Jansen J., Arvin E. Oczyszczanie ścieków. Procesy biologiczne i chemiczne. Politechnika Świętokrzyska, Kielce 2002.

Kaczor G. (2012). The effect of infiltration water on the concentration of biogenic compounds in raw and treated sewage during wet weather. Infrastructure and Ecology of Rural Areas. No. 3.

Krzanowski S., Wałęga A. (2004). Assessment of operation reliability of a mechnical-biological sewage treatment plant operation for Dąbrowa Tarnowska. Textile Engineering and Environmental Protection. No. 14. The University of Bielsko-Biala.

Łomotowski J., Szpindor A. (1999). Modern sewage treatment systems. ARKADY Publishing, Warsaw.

Miernik W. (2007). Efficiency of rural wastes treatment in the sewage treatment plant with the sequencing batch reactor. Infrastructure and Ecology of Rural Areas, PAS., 2, Cracow, 71-80.

Pawełek J., Bergel T. (2002). Selected ecological aspects of water consumption structure in rural households. Agricultural Engineering, No. 3 (36). pp.253-265.

Water permit. (2003). Issued for the sewage treatment plant in Dobra. Limanowa District Office.

Regulation (2014). Regulation of the Minister of Environment of 18 November 2014 on the conditions to be met when introducing sewage into water or soil and on substances particularly harmful to the aquatic environment. J. of Laws 2014 item 1800.

Szpindor A. (1998). Water supply and sewerage in rural areas. Arkady Publishing, Warsaw.

Tchobanoglous G., Burton F., Stensel D. Wastewater Engineering. Treatment and Reuse. Metcalf & Eddy, McGraw-Hill Companies Inc, 2003.

MSc. Eng. Jacek Nowak, PhD student
PhD hab. Eng. Krzysztof Chmielowski, adjunct
Department of Sanitary Engineering and Water Management
Faculty of Environmental Engineering and Land Surveying
University of Agriculture in Cracow
MSc. Eng. Bożena Chmielowska Graduate
Faculty of Environmental Engineering and Land Surveying
University of Agriculture in Cracow
PhD Eng. Dawid Bedla, adjunct
Department of Ecology, Climatology and Air Protection
Faculty of Environmental Engineering and Land Surveying
University of Agriculture in Cracow

Received: 10.03.2016 Accepted: 30.05.2016